

LibraryLaw Blog

Issues concerning libraries and the law - with latitude to discuss any other interesting issues Note: Not legal advice - just a dangerous mix of thoughts and information. Brought to you by Mary Minow, J.D., A.M.L.S. [California, U.S.]



Syndicate this site (XML)

Contributing Authors

Peter Hirtle
Raizel Liebler
Mary Minow
Susan Nevelow Mart

Recent Comments

Andrew Higgins on Internet Archive copyright decision appealed

Terry Polevoy on Internet Archive copyright decision appealed

Mary on Upcoming lectures on digital future from the Library of Congress on CSPAN

Andrew Higgins on Internet Archive copyright decision appealed

Angla Size on Internet Archive copyright decision appealed

Karen Coyle on New RFID bill in California would prohibit RFID tags that give personal information on library cards (among other cards)

Greg Middleton on Upcoming lectures on digital future from the Library of Congress on CSPAN

Andrew Higgins on A Rabbi sues the University of California for defamation over ugly comments about him in an oral history at the Bancroft Library. He loses - statute of limitations ran out. Who should pay the university's attorneys' fees?

Karen Coyle on New RFID bill in California would prohibit RFID tags that give personal information on library cards (among other cards)

Dr. Who? on A Rabbi sues the University of California for defamation over ugly comments about him in an oral history at the Bancroft Library. He loses - statute of limitations ran out. Who should pay the university's attorneys' fees?

Recent Posts

You're in the right place if you want follow-up to the ALA copyright webcast

Patriot Act Section 215 lawsuit ruling expected soon

« Deutsche Bibliothek can now break DRM for the library without breaking the law | Main | For folks working to reform copyright law - don't forget to revise Sect. 504 to show who wins, who loses and who pays attorneys fees »

January 23, 2005

Internet Archive copyright decision appealed

This is the lawsuit to free old, out-of-print works that libraries and others want to digitize, but are still under an ever-lengthening copyright term. Tracking down copyright owners can be extremely difficult to impossible. In fact, the owners could be an author's heirs who have no clue that they own the copyright to, say, a 1930s church newsletter.

As Brewster Kahle, a plaintiff in the suit, posted to *the archivists-talk list*:

"Let's hope sanity prevails and we protect our libraries."

Source: Teleread January 21st

Posted by Mary in Copyright, Court cases, Digitization Projects | Permalink

TrackBack

TrackBack URL for this entry:
<http://www.typepad.com/t/trackback/1709676>

Listed below are links to weblogs that reference Internet Archive copyright decision appealed:

Comments

In February 2005 a Blog set up by a law professor at the University of Cincinnati allowed anonymous posters to defame me.

<http://www.healthwatcher.net/Quackerywatch/Defamation/index.html>

In your discussion on this library law blog it is apparent that there is also no security here as well. If the issues that involve the freedom of speech are important to you, your Blog should have security that protects people from posters who couldn't care less about the Bill of Rights. They feel that it's their duty to post defamatory posts.

Please review your posting policies and consider whether you should require people who post to reveal their real identities and affiliations.

Thanks very much.

Terry Polevoy, MD

Posted by: Terry Polevoy | March 1, 2005 08:21 PM

I'm sorry what did you say CrazyTerry? You want freedom of speech for you and censorship for others? That seems fair...?DumbAss.

Listen up CrazyTerry Polevoy, you lying, libeling, defaming, stalking WacKo....you make your own bed. Continue your campaign of hate, lies, deception, fraud, and you will sleep in it.....

Posted by: Dr. Who? | March 3, 2005 07:06 AM

Freedom of speech and site security to restrict access or forbid those who would like to post? Is that it? CrazyTerry?

I queried this Polevoy guy in google. What a numbnuts! You're right CrazyTerry. This site does need security to keep you away from the kids!

Posted by: Angla Size | March 3, 2005 02:50 PM

Sorry to return so quickly, but I just visited CrazyTerry Polevoy's websites and had to share. This guy posts in a rebuttal to some blog posts a story about himself in the third person as being some great-god-doctor to Canadians and healthcare. His site reads like

About
Google Search



Copyright



Save Orphan Works

Archives

March 2005
February 2005
January 2005
December 2004
November 2004
October 2004
September 2004
August 2004
July 2004
June 2004

New RFID bill in California would prohibit RFID tags that give personal information on library cards (among other cards)

I am a blog person

Better not offend me with that image of Rush Limbaugh! An untenable policy against "offensive images on internet terminals" at the Hawaii State Library

Update on ACLU lawsuit against Hawaii State Library over gay sites on library computer

A Rabbi sues the University of California for defamation over ugly comments about him in an oral history at the Bancroft Library. He loses - statute of limitations ran out. Who should pay the university's attorneys' fees?

Upcoming lectures on digital future from the Library of Congress on CSPAN

Copyright and copying good stuff for your library's web pages - ALA OITP Copyright webcast March 3d Noon Pacific Time

France, GooglePrint, and Copyright Law

Categories

Access to information

Asia

Behavior policies

Blogs

Books

Canada

Child Pornography, Obscenity and "Harmful to Minors"

CIPA

Copyright

Court cases

Digitization Projects

Disability access

Employment

Europe

First Amendment

INDUCE Act

Liability

Library Crime

Library governance

Licensing

Meeting Rooms, Displays, Public Forums

Open Meetings / Sunshine Laws

Ted Bundy's journal! The guy is a friggin' fruitcake.

Polevoy has written some law prof at UofC telling him he's gonna sue. The law prof, Hodinski, wrote back to Polevoy telling him he didn't have any basis in law. That isn't even the point. Polevoy knows he has no basis in law to complain 'casue somebody said he's prick on the internet. Polevoy is just stirring up shit! That's what he does.

So Polevoy, if you're reading this or having it read to you, I believe Tim Bolen when he's say you admitted to molesting children! Sue me!

Posted by: [Andrew Higgins](#) | March 3, 2005 03:01 PM

It would be nice to be able to have a rational discussion with these people on the blog, but it's obvious to anyone that they are not rational. This blog is not secure from defamation.

Posted by: [Terry Polevoy](#) | March 4, 2005 02:11 AM

CrazyTerry! The blog is secure from defamation, you're not. Keep it up pa! You get what you put out there! Dummy.....!

Posted by: [Andrew Higgins](#) | March 4, 2005 04:40 AM

Post a comment

Name:

Remember personal info?

Email Address:

URL:

Comments:

Preview

Post

[PATRIOT Act](#)

[Patron Records](#)

[Privacy](#)

[RFID](#)

[School libraries](#)

[Search warrants, subpoenas,
surveillance](#)

[State laws](#)

[Virtual reference](#)

[Weblogs](#)

Orphan works

[Save Orphan Works](#)